

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,060	03/01/2002	Caidian Luo	HARD1.033A	4640
20995 75	90 01/07/2004		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MA	ARTENS OLSON & BEA	MARCANTONI, PAUL D		
2040 MAIN ST FOURTEENTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA			1755	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/07/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ ·	Ap	pplication No.	Applicant(s)					
		10	0/090,060	LUO ET AL.	\mathcal{A}				
Office Action Summary		Ex	aminer	Art Unit					
			ul Marcantoni	1755					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne Status	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU isions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions (6) Months from the mailing date of this coperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). mmunication. y (30) days, a reply with n statutory period will ap eply will, by statute, caus ns after the mailing date .	In no event, however, may in the statutory minimum of t ply and will expire SIX (6) M se the application to become of this communication, ever	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s)	·							
,—	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊡ This acti							
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5) 6) 7)	 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachmer									
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 10/090,060

Art Unit: 1755

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- l. Claims 1-8, drawn to a composition comprising cellulose fibers, classified in class 536, subclass 56+.
- II. Claims 9-34, drawn to a composition/method of mixing comprising cement+ cellulose fibers, classified in class 106, subclass 730+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product (cellulose alone) is deemed to be useful as textiles, food preservatives, roof tiles, friction materials, magnetic pads, etc. and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,060

Art Unit: 1755

A telephone call was made to Linda Liu on 12/30/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373.

> Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755